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# Children, Youth \& Family <br> background 

If history is a credible witness, demographic shifts in the future will be followed by profound changes in the nature of childhood.

The dynamics of the American family are particularly sensitive to changes in demographics. The kinds of work parents do determine not only family income, but, for example, where children grow up and how much time they get to spend with their parents.

A snapshot of major demographic shifts helps explain how childhood in America has evolved to what it is today and provides a glimpse of what it might be like in the future.

Among the most influential shifts were the exodus of American families from farm work and rural life, followed by the whittling down of family size, fathers and mothers being away from home for longer periods of time each day, and a steep rise in education for all.

Such factors influence how children spend their time and with whom. They also affect family organization and the social context in which children are raised.

## Family Work

From the first European settlers to more than a century after the American Revolution, America was chiefly a farming society. Most children grew up in two-parent farm families. These families were usually large. Their communi-


#### Abstract

Of great importance to the development of children is the nature of their parents' work. As America moved from an agrarian society, work took fathers from the home. More recently, mothers have joined the workforce in increasing numbers. Today, more children than ever before are being left in the care of adults other than their parents.


ties were usually small. All family members were involved in the farm, working side-by-side for most of the day. Crops and livestock came first. Children's education came second.

In 1830, about $70 \%$ of American children lived on farms and in families with two parents. By 1930, after the rise of industry, fewer than $30 \%$ of the children lived in farm families.

Of great importance to child development was the nature of the work that now supported these families. Parents - typically fathers, at first -left home to work in mills, factories and offices. The time they spent with their children diminished considerably.

## Family Size

The average number of children in American households fell sharply as families moved away from farm life.

In $1865,82 \%$ of the nation's adolescents lived in families with five or more children. By 1930, 57\% of adolescents lived in families with three or fewer children. Family size fell by a median of 7.3 children to 2.6 children.

## Education

The decline of the farm family also meant the end of daily farm chores for most children. School enrollments shot up sharply.

In 1870, half of the nation's children 5-19 years old were enrolled in school, averaging about 16 weeks in the classroom a year. But by 1940, $95 \%$ of the children 7-13 years old and $79 \%$ of children age 14-17 were enrolled in school, spending an average of 30 weeks in class.

Better educated children made better educated parents down the road. Only $15 \%$ of adolescents born in the 1920s had fathers who completed at least four years of high school, and $17 \%$ had mothers who had completed high school. Two decades later, $39 \%$ of fathers and $44 \%$ of mothers had at least earned a high school diploma.

## Working Mothers

Several major changes occurring
(Continued on back)

## （Continued from the front）

after World War II continued to rede－ fine the family landscape．

Mothers took work outside the home in steadily increasing numbers．In 1940，only $10 \%$ of the nation＇s children lived in families in which the mother worked outside the home．In 1950，the number had risen to $16 \%$ ．Four decades later，nearly $60 \%$ of America＇s children had working mothers．

With more mothers in the work－ place，more children were placed in the care of someone other than their parents for much of the day．

The＂traditional＂family of a wage－ earning father and a stay－at－home mother－which earlier had replaced the ＂traditional＂farm family as the norm－ became the exception．By 1995，only $12 \%$ of the nation＇s children lived in families in which the father was the sole breadwinner and the mother stayed home．

## Single Parents

Mothers are also at the center of another demographic shift that has con－ tributed to the redrawing of the Ameri－ can family：an unprecedented rise in the number of children growing up in fami－ lies without fathers．

Between 1940 and 1960，only 6\％－ $8 \%$ of the nation＇s children lived in mother－only households．By 1990， nearly $20 \%$ of the children lived only with their mothers．

## Child Poverty

Poverty places children at risk of being abused or neglected，performing poorly in school，developing health and behavioral problems，and encountering other limitations．

The years following the Great De－ pression saw child poverty rates decline，

## 楼綝十料料 <br> Of the demographic changes over the past 150 years，these influenced child development the most．

－The shift to non－farm work by fathers．
－The sharp reduction in family size．
－The dramatic increase in the level of education found within the families．
－The increase in the number of mothers in the workforce．
－The rise of single－parent families．
－The large decline and then substantial rise in child poverty．
then rise；the gap between rich and poor narrow，then widen．

The number of children living in relative poverty dropped from $38 \%$ in 1939 to $27 \%$ in 1949，then fell to $23 \%$ between 1950 and 1969．A family in relative poverty is one whose income is less than $50 \%$ of the median family in－ come reported in a specific year．${ }^{1}$

In 1970，child poverty began to
rise．By 1988，nearly $27 \%$ of America＇s children lived in relative poverty．

Official U．S．government poverty rates－based on an income scale de－ termined by the average costs of feeding a family－show a more dramatic up－ swing：after bottoming out at $14 \%$ in 1969 ，the official child poverty rate climbed to $23 \%$ in $1993 .{ }^{2}$
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